United States District Court, Northern District of Illinois

Name of Assigned Judge or Magistrate Judge CASE NUMBER 97 CR 63			. Shadur	Sitting Judge if Other than Assigned Judge					
			638 - 1	DATE	5/2/200	1			
	CASE FITLE			USA vs. Melodie Ki					
TOI	ON:	[In the following box (a) of the motion being pre	indicate the party fili sented.]	ng the motion, e.g., plaintiff, defer	ndant, 3rd party plaintiff, and (b) state briefly the natur			
OCI	ET ENTR	Y:							
 I)		Filed motion of [use listin	g in "Motion" bo	x above.]					
2)		Brief in support of motion	due						
3)		Answer brief to motion du	ie Reply	to answer brief due					
4)		Ruling/Hearing on	_ set for a	at					
5)		Status hearing[held/contir	ued to] [set for/re	e-set for] on set for	at				
6)		Pretrial conference[held/continued to] [set for/re-set for] on set for at							
7)		Trial[set for/re-set for] on at							
(8)		[Bench/Jury trial] [Hearing] held/continued to at							
(9)		This case is dismissed [with/without] prejudice and without costs[by/agreement/pursuant to] ☐ FRCP4(m) ☐ General Rule 21 ☐ FRCP41(a)(1) ☐ FRCP41(a)(2).							
(10)	suppor	[Other docket entry] lt his request to have a	Enter Memora ccess to sh an	ndum Order. Because inherently confidential	Kron has provided no document, his appli	o reason at all t cation is denied			
	_	[For further detail see or	1	o original minute order					
		[For further detail see or equired, advised in open court.	uer attached to th	e original minute or array		Document			
(11)	No notices r		1						
(11)			- [number of notices	Number			
(11)	No notices r				MAY 3 2601	Number			
\	No notices r Notices mai	equired. led by judge's staff. insel by telephone.				Number -			
\	No notices r Notices mai Notified cou	equired. led by judge's staff. unsel by telephone. o mail notices.			MAY () 3 2005 date docketed	Number .			
\	No notices r Notices mai Notified cou Docketing t Mail AO 45	equired. led by judge's staff. insel by telephone. o mail notices. 0 form.			MAY 0.3 2001	Number 5			
(11) ✓	No notices r Notices mai Notified cou Docketing t Mail AO 45	equired. led by judge's staff. unsel by telephone. o mail notices.	San	2 (1, U 26	date docketed	Number 5			

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

)
0	
* در د_	STATE OF
(B)	400

UNITED	STATES	OF	AMERICA,)				
			Plaintiff,)				
v.)	No.	97	CR	638-1
MELODIE	KIPTA,	,)				
			Defendant.)				

MEMORANDUM ORDER

Thomas Kron ("Kron"), who is apparently serving a sentence imposed for his commission of a state crime in Texas, has submitted a handwritten self-prepared document captioned "Application for Public Record, U.S.C. 5 sec. 552 Freedom of Information Act," in which he requests that he be provided a copy of the Presentence Investigation Report ("PSI") that had been prepared for, and that this Court had utilized in connection with, the sentencing of Melodie Kipta on a charge of bank fraud. But what Kron obviously does not realize is that no lesser authority than the United States Supreme Court has squarely confirmed that no third party such as Kron is to be given access to another person's PSI, at least "in the absence of a compelling demonstration that disclosure of the report is required to meet the ends of justice" (United States Dep't of Justice v. Julian, 486 U.S. 1, 12 (1988)).

Indeed, <u>Julian</u> was decided in the express context of a request for disclosure of a PSI under the Freedom of Information

52

Act. And because Kron has provided no reason at all to support his request to have access to such an inherently confidential document, his application is denied.

Milton I. Shadur

Senior United States District Judge

Date: May 2, 2001